DRAFT Economic Evaluation of Water Management Alternatives Policy Assumptions and Preferences by Stakeholder

Line No.	Environmental	Urban Delta Exporters	Urban In-Delta Diverters	Delta Agriculture	Sacramento Valley Agriculture	San Joaquin Valley Agriculture		
Signific	Significant differences from No Action Alternative ¹ :							
1.	Assume less 2020 urban demands, increased Trinity River fish flows and more land retirement in San Joaquin Valley.	Assume only 450,000 AF of recycling in South Coast in 2020		·		Assume less retirement of San Joaquin Valley land.		
Additional measures that affect water supply reliability:								
2.	Ecosystem Restoration Program should include more San Joaquin River flows and more delta outflow.	No more urban Water Use Efficiency above BMPs	No more urban Water Use Efficiency above BMPs	Reduce conversion of Delta agricultural lands to wetlands for Ecosystem Restoration Program	No more Sacramento Valley agricultural Water Use Efficiency	No more San Joaquin Valley agricultural Water Use Efficiency		
3.	Include more agricultural and urban Water Use Efficiency	Include conjunctive Use in San Joaquin Valley	Relocate Delta supply intakes if water quality impacted	Include more Water Use Efficiency in export areas to reduce exports	Include more urban Water Use Efficiency	Include more urban Water Use Efficiency		

DRAFT Economic Evaluation of Water Management Alternatives Policy Assumptions and Preferences by Stakeholder (Continued)

Line No.	Environmental	Urban Delta Exporters	Urban In-Delta Diverters	Delta Agriculture	Sacramento Valley Agriculture	San Joaquin Valley Agriculture		
Additional measures that affect water supply reliability (continued):								
4.	Include more voluntary land retirement/ fallowing	Remove impediments to water transfers	Remove impediments to water transfers	Include more local supplies in export areas to reduce exports	Include Sacramento Valley Storage	Include San Joaquin Valley Storage		
5.	Remove impediments to water transfers	Include isolated facility for water quality by 2020	Consider conjunctive use	If necessary, restrict urban growth		Include conjunctive use in the San Joaquin Valley		
6.	Consider conjunctive use	Include San Joaquin Valley Storage	No storage in Delta	Limit and target local land retirement and fallowing				
7.	Consider storage-only if mitigated at site and an environmental share provided	Consider Sacramento Valley Storage				Include isolated Facility		

DRAFT Economic Evaluation of Water Management Alternatives Policy Assumptions and Preferences by Stakeholder (Continued)

	I OIIC	y 1100 uniperono	and a rest erres	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(332222	
Line No.	Environmental	Urban Delta Exporters	Urban In-Delta Diverters	Delta Agriculture	Sacramento Valley Agriculture	San Joaquin Valley Agriculture
Water o	quality benefits:					
8.	Include costs avoided for additional environmental flows	Include treatment user cost saving opportunity fo groundwat	e treatment cost savings, end- cost savings, and increased ortunity for recycling and groundwater recharge			Include costs avoided for salinity damages
Criteria	for cost allocation:					
9.	No agricultural or urban subsidies for use of stored water	Water users should not pay more for environment share	Any and all costs to Delta water users must be fully compensated		No new taxes, use SB900	Should subsidize because of past losses
How w	illingness to pay (W	VTP) or ability to p	ay is considered as	s part of criteria:		
10.	Only provide urban and agricultural water if WTP	Southern CA WTP is artificially high	Exporters must be WTP net costs imposed on Delta water users		Consider agriculture's limited ability to pay	
	e differences could a ptions or common pr alysis.					